On the Structure of β-Molybdenum Dichloride
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ABSTRACT: The structure of β-molybdenum dichloride is compared with that of TcCl2 using EXAFS spectroscopy. For TcCl2, the Tc atom is surrounded by Tc atoms at 2.13(2), 3.45(3), 3.79(4), and 4.02(4) Å. For β-MoCl2, the Mo is surrounded by Mo atoms at 2.21(2), 2.91(3), and 3.83(4) Å. The latter distances are consistent with the presence of an [Mo4Cl12] unit in the solid state, one constituted by two triply Mo–Mo-bonded [Mo3Cl6] units. First-principles calculations show that β-MoCl2 with the TcCl2 “structure type” is less stable than α-MoCl2 (Mo6Cl12) or [Mo4Cl12] edge-sharing clusters.

INTRODUCTION

The chemistry of divalent second- and third-row transition metal binary chlorides is underdeveloped, and only a few MCl2 (M = Zr, Mo, W, Pt, Pd) compounds are known. The coordination chemistry of those dichlorides is dominated by the M6Cl12 (M = Zr, Mo, W, Pt, Pd) compounds.1a The coordination chemistry of those dichlorides is underdeveloped, and only a few MCl2 compounds are known. The coordination chemistry of those dichlorides is dominated by the M6Cl12 (M = Zr, Mo, W, Pt, Pd) compounds.1a The coordination chemistry of those dichlorides is underdeveloped, and only a few MCl2 compounds are known. The coordination chemistry of those dichlorides is dominated by the M6Cl12 (M = Zr, Mo, W, Pt, Pd) compounds.1a

Previous experiments showed that it was possible to convert β-MoCl2 to tetranuclear Mo4Cl8(L)4 clusters (L= THF, PEt3). As a result, it was concluded that β-MoCl2 was a polymer containing [Mo4Cl4] rectangular clusters coupled by Mo–Cl–Mo bridges, and the formulation [(Mo4Cl4)(Cl8/2)]∞ was proposed.8,9 In this formulation, the tetranuclear clusters are formed by two face-sharing [Mo2Cl8] units, which is similar to the arrangement of the Tc2Cl8 units in TcCl2 and suggests that β-MoCl2 could have the “TcCl2 structure type”. Herein, we report the structures of β-MoCl2 and TcCl2 determined by extended X-ray absorption fine structure spectroscopy (EXAFS).

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Caution! Technetium-99 is a weak beta emitter (Eβ = 292 keV). All manipulations were performed in a radiochemistry laboratory designed for chemical synthesis using efficient HEPA-filtered fume hoods, Schlenk and glovebox techniques, and following locally approved radioisotope handling and monitoring procedures. The starting compound NH4TcO4 was purchased from Oak Ridge National Laboratory. Technetium dichloride was prepared according to the method reported in the literature,2 i.e., reaction between Tc metal and Cl2(g) (Tc:Cl, 1:2.5) in a sealed tube at 450 °C. All specimens were thoroughly washed with 2.21(2), 2.91(3), and 3.83(4) Å. The latter distances are consistent with the presence of an

Chart 1. View of a Technetium Dichloride Chain Along the c Axis of the Unit Cell.

might be identified with other metals that are known to form molecular complexes containing the M2X4 unit (e.g., M = Mo, W, Re, Os; X = Cl, Br, I). In this context, we were curious about the structure of β-MoCl2.

This compound was reported nearly 50 years ago, but its structure is still unknown.3 It is prepared by reaction of molybdenum(II) acetate, Mo2(OAc)4, and HCl(g) at 250–350 °C.4–8 The semicrystalline nature of β-MoCl2 makes its characterization by diffraction techniques difficult. Its broad and diffuse XRD powder pattern resembles that of CdCl2.4 Earlier work indicated that β-MoCl2 was diamagnetic, suggesting the presence of Mo–Mo bonds, while infrared spectroscopy revealed the presence of Mo–Cl–Mo bridges.
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refluxing molybdenum hexacarbonyl with acetic acid in chlorobenzene under an inert atmosphere. \( \beta\)-MoCl\(_2\) was prepared by reaction between Mo\(_2\)(OAc)\(_4\) and HCl(g) at 300 °C.\(^6\) Molybdenum dichloride was characterized by infrared spectroscopy and XRD powder diffraction. K\(_2\)MoCl\(_4\) 2H\(_2\)O was synthesized from Mo\(_2\)(OAc)\(_4\) according to a procedure previously reported.\(^1\)

**EXAFS Spectroscopy.** Measurements were performed at the Advanced Photon Source (APS) at the BESSRC-CAT 12 BM station.\(^1\) The technetium and molybdenum compounds were diluted (~1% by mass) in boron nitride, ground in a mortar, and placed in an aluminum sample holder equipped with Kapton windows. Spectra were recorded at the Tc and Mo K edge (21 044 and 20 000 eV) in fluorescence mode at room temperature using a 13-element germanium detector. A double crystal of Si [111] was used as a monochromator. Rejections of harmonics were performed using rhodium mirrors. Energy was calibrated using a molybdenum foil. For each compound, 16 scans were recorded in the \( k \) range 0–15 Å\(^{-1} \) and averaged. EXAFS spectra were extracted using the Athena\(^1\) software, and data analysis was performed using Winxas.\(^1\) For the fitting procedure, amplitude and phase shift functions were calculated by FEFF 8.2.\(^1\) Input files were generated by Atoms.\(^1\) Simulation studies were performed at \( \sigma^2 = 0 \); the scattering and amplitude function generated by FEFF 8.2 were extracted, \( k^2 \) weighted, and Fourier transformed between \( k = 2.5 \) and 14 Å\(^{-1} \) using Artemis software.\(^1\)

**Computational Methods.** First-principles total energy calculations were performed using spin-polarized density functional theory (DFT) as implemented in the Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP).\(^1\) The exchange-correlation energy was calculated using the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) with the parametrization of Perdew and Wang (PW91).\(^1\) The interaction between valence electrons and ionic cores was described by the projector-augmented wave (PAW) method.\(^2,3\) The Tc\((4p,5s,4d)\), Mo\((4p,5s,4d)\), and Cl\((3s,3p)\) electrons were treated explicitly as valence electrons in the Kohn–Sham (KS) equation, and the remaining core electrons together with the nuclei were represented by PAW pseudopotentials. The KS equation was solved using the blocked Davidson iterative matrix diagonalization scheme followed by the residual vector minimization method. The plane-wave cut-off energy for the electronic wave functions was set to a value of 350 eV, ensuring the total energy of the system to be converged to within 1 meV/atom. Electronic relaxation was performed with the conjugate gradient method accelerated using the Methfessel–Paxton Fermi-level smearing\(^2\) with a Gaussian width of 0.1 eV. Ionic relaxation was carried out using the quasi-Newton method, and the Hellmann–Feynman forces acting on atoms were calculated with a convergence tolerance set to 0.01 eV/Å. The Brillouin zone was sampled using the Monkhorst–Pack special \( k \)-point scheme\(^2\) with a \( 3 \times 3 \times 3 \) mesh for all structural optimization and total energy calculations.

**Other Techniques.** An attenuated total reflectance FT-IR (ATR-FT-IR) spectrum of \( \beta\)-MoCl\(_2\) was recorded on a Varian Excalibur spectrometer using a KBr beam splitter and an integrated Durasampler diamond ATR. The infrared spectrum does not show any peaks in the region 1300–1600 cm\(^{-1} \), which indicates the absence of Mo(II) acetate in the final product. The XRD powder pattern of \( \beta\)-MoCl\(_2\) was recorded on a PANalytical XPert Pro instrument with Cu K\(_\alpha\) emission and an X\’celerator multiple Si strip solid state detector. The XRD powder pattern (Figure S1, Supporting Information) exhibits the characteristic line of \( \beta\)-MoCl\(_2\).\(^4\)

---

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**EXAFS Spectroscopy.** EXAFS spectra of TcCl\(_2\), K\(_2\)MoCl\(_4\) 2H\(_2\)O, and \( \beta\)-MoCl\(_2\) were recorded in fluorescence mode at the Tc and Mo K edges in the \( k \) range 0–15 Å\(^{-1} \). EXAFS spectra were \( k^2 \) weighted, and the Fourier transforms (FT) were performed in the \( k \) range 2.5–14 Å\(^{-1} \). The \( \chi(R) \) Fourier transform representations of the EXAFS of \( \beta\)-MoCl\(_2\) and TcCl\(_2\) (Figure 1) both exhibit a large nearest neighbor peak that, because of the very short M–M bonds, contains the overlapping contributions of the metal and Cl. Beyond this, the more distant neighbor shells display different patterns indicative of different longer range structures for the Mo and Tc compounds.

The unexpected difference in the long-range structures between TcCl\(_2\) and \( \beta\)-MoCl\(_2\) was initially explored with EXAFS simulations. Modeling the EXAFS of various possible geometries for Tc and Mo chlorides demonstrates the origin of these effects in the Cl bridging geometries (Figure 2 and Figure 3).
3). The technetium dichloride EXAFS spectrum was simulated using the structural parameters of a TcCl₂ chain derived from its average crystallographic structure and a postulated Mo₄Cl₁₂ cluster.

**Technetium Dichloride.** Adjustment of the \( k³ \)-weighted EXAFS spectra was performed in the \( k \) range 2.5–14 Å\(^{-1} \) under the constraints \( S_0^2 = 0.9 \). The adjustment procedure was initially conducted using the scattering functions previously mentioned. The multiscattering Cl₁ \( \leftrightarrow \) Tc₂ \( \leftrightarrow \) Cl₁ was used to fit the peak located at \( R + \Delta = 4.2 \) Å. \( \Delta E_0 \) was constrained to be the same value for each wave. The numbers of atoms were fixed at those of the crystal structure; this places all of the amplitude variation in the Debye–Waller factors, which nevertheless are well behaved in that they increase monotonically with distance. The errors in distances are within the normal 0.02 Å for nearest neighbors and 0.03–0.05 Å for the more distant ones whose contributions overlap to an unusually large extent.

The fitted FT and the \( k³ \) EXAFS spectra are shown in Figure 4. The residual, i.e., the difference between the adjustment and experimental data is 4.80%. The structural parameters (Table 1) found by EXAFS indicate the environment around Tc₀ to consist of Tc atoms at 2.13(2), 3.48(3), and 4.08(4) Å and of Cl atoms at 2.42(2) and 3.59(4) Å.

The TcCl₂ sample used for the XRD structure determination was thermally treated at 450 °C with AlCl₃ while the sample used in the present work was not. The absence of thermal treatment with AlCl₃ could introduce disorder within the structure, such as perpendicular orientations of the Tc₂ vectors in a TcCl₂ chain. In order to investigate the presence of perpendicular orientations of the TcCl₂ vectors, a fourth shell
of Tc atoms (i.e., Tc0) was considered for the EXAFS adjustment. The model used for the EXAFS calculation (Figure 5) was constructed considering three adjacent Tc2Cl8 units with perpendicular and parallel Tc=C=Tc vectors. The structural parameters of the Tc2Cl8 units in this model were taken from the one determined in the published TcCl2 X-ray structure. The procedure, the numbers of atoms were fixed at those of the model; the fitted FT and the k3 EXAFS spectra are shown in Figure 6. The structural parameters (Table 2) found by EXAFS indicate the environment around Tc0 consists of Tc atoms at 2.13(2), 3.45(3), 3.79(4), and 4.02(4) Å and of Cl atoms at 2.42(2) and 3.58(4) Å. The value of the residual (3.80%) is lower than the one previously found while the Tc0−Tc4 distance is 3.79(4) Å. For the weak scattering amplitude and large distance from the absorbing atom (Tc−O = 4.75 Å), the oxygen atoms from the water molecules were not considered for the adjustment. These structural parameters are essentially identical to those found in the X-ray structure of K4Mo2Cl8·2H2O and validate our approach.

Potassium Octachlorodimolybdate. The EXAFS spectrum of K4Mo2Cl8·2H2O was studied in order to validate our approach in the determination of metal–metal bond lengths in β-MoCl4. The EXAFS spectrum of K4Mo2Cl8·2H2O was fit using the scattering functions calculated from its crystallographic structure. Two adjustments were performed: (1) Fourier filtering was performed between R + Δ = 1.20 and 2.30 Å, the FT was back-transformed, and the corresponding EXAFS spectra fitted in the k range 2.5–14 Å⁻¹; (2) adjustment of the total EXAFS spectra in the k range 2.5–14 Å⁻¹. For both adjustments, ΔE0 was constrained to be the same value for each wave, and the numbers of atoms were fixed at those of the crystal structure. The structural parameters found in adjustment 2 (Figure 7, Table 3) indicate the environment of the absorbing atom to be constituted by one Mo atom at 2.16(2) Å, four Cl atoms at both 2.44(2) and 3.69(4) Å, and two K atoms at 4.05(4) Å. Due to the weak scattering amplitude and large distance from the absorbing atom (Tc−O = 4.75 Å), the oxygen atoms from the water molecules were not considered for the adjustment. These structural parameters are essentially identical to those found in the X-ray structure of K4Mo2Cl8·2H2O and validate our approach.

β-Molybdenum Dichloride. Adjustment was conducted similarly to the one performed for K4Mo2Cl8·2H2O. The adjustment of the experimental EXAFS spectra was performed in the k range 2.5–14 Å⁻¹ using the calculated scattering wave functions determined from the postulated Mo6Cl12 cluster. Attempts to adjust the EXAFS spectra of β-MoCl4 using the structure of TcCl2 were unsuccessful. Two adjustments were
performed: (1) Fourier filtering was performed between \( R + \Delta = 1.20 \) and 2.30 Å, the FT was back-transformed, and the corresponding EXAFS spectra fitted in the \( k \) range 2.5—14 Å\(^{-1}\); (2) adjustment of the total EXAFS spectra in the \( k \) range 2.5—14 Å\(^{-1}\). For both adjustments, \( \Delta E_0 \) was constrained to be the same value for each wave.

**Adjustment (1) between \( R + \Delta = 1.20 \) and 2.30 Å.** A window filter was done on the FT between \( R + \Delta = 1.20 \) and 2.30 Å. The FT was back-transformed, and the corresponding EXAFS spectra were fitted in the \( k \) range 2.5—14 Å\(^{-1}\) using the Mo0 \( \Leftrightarrow \) Mo1 and Mo0 \( \Leftrightarrow \) Cl1 scattering. The results (Figure 8, Table 4) of the adjustment indicate that the first coordination shell around the absorbing atom is constituted by one Mo atom at 2.21(2) Å and four Cl atoms at 2.48(2) Å. These results are in agreement with the presence of the [Mo2Cl8] unit in \( \beta \)-MoCl2.

**Adjustment (2) of the Total EXAFS Spectra.** The total EXAFS spectrum was fitted in the \( k \) range 2.5 and 14 Å\(^{-1}\) using the scattering Mo0 \( \Leftrightarrow \) Mo1, Mo0 \( \Leftrightarrow \) Cl1, Mo0 \( \Leftrightarrow \) Mo2, Mo0 \( \Leftrightarrow \) Cl2, and Mo \( \Leftrightarrow \) Mo3. The C.N. numbers were fixed to the theoretical value; all other parameters were allowed to vary. The residual (difference between the adjustment and the experimental data) is 6.42%. The results of the final adjustment (Figure 9, Table 4) indicate the environment around Mo 0 consists of Mo atoms at 2.21(2), 2.91(3), and 3.83(4) Å by 4 Cl atoms at 2.46(2) Å and 4 Cl atoms at 3.63(4) Å.

The Mo cluster therefore consists of two face-sharing [Mo2Cl8] units, which gives a rectangular [Mo4]\(^{1+}\) core. The
presence of Mo atoms at 3.83(4) Å indicates the cluster is connected to other clusters through bridging chlorines (see Computational Studies). The Mo–Mo distance in the \([\text{Mo}_2\text{Cl}_8]^-\) units, 2.21(2) Å, is larger than that in the \([\text{Mo}_2\text{Cl}_8]^+\) anions (2.123(2)–2.139(4) Å) and suggests that the quadruple bond is lost during formation of \(\beta\)-\text{MoCl}_2. The distance between the Mo atoms bridged by Cl ligands (\(\text{Mo}(\mu-\text{Cl})\text{Mo} = 2.91(3) \text{ Å}\)) is shorter than the nonbonding Mo–Mo distances (i.e., \(\sim 3.60 \text{ Å}\)) and suggests there is a metal–metal bonding interaction between the chloride-bridged Mo atoms.\(^{27,28}\)

The structure and bonding in Mo tetramers with rectangular cores has been discussed previously.\(^{27–30}\) For tetramers such as \(\text{Mo}_4\text{Cl}_{12}(\text{PET}_3)_4\), the Mo–Mo and \(\text{Mo}(\mu-\text{Cl})\text{Mo}\) distances are 2.21 and 2.91 Å and triple and single bonds have been proposed.\(^{28–30}\) The absence of the \(\delta\) bond in this type of compound was supported by the absence of the band associated with a \(\delta \rightarrow \delta^*\) transition in their electronic spectra.\(^{29}\)

The similarity of distances between \(\text{Mo}_4\text{Cl}_{12}(\text{PET}_3)_4\) and \(\beta\)-\text{MoCl}_2 is consistent with the presence of a triple bond in the \([\text{Mo}_2\text{Cl}_8]^-\) unit and a single bond in \(\text{Mo}(\mu-\text{Cl})\text{Mo}\). Additional theoretical calculations estimate a Mo–Mo triple bond at 2.26 Å and Mo–Mo single bond at 2.76 Å.\(^{31}\)

**Computational Studies.** In order to better understand the structure observed in the \(\text{MoCl}_3\) system, total energy calculations of idealized 3D periodic \(\text{MoCl}_2\) models adopting the \(\alpha\)-\text{MoCl}_2, \(\text{TcCl}_2\), and \(\text{Mo}_4\text{Cl}_{12}\)-type structures have been performed; several crystalline structures based on edge- and corner-sharing \(\text{Mo}_4\text{Cl}_{12}\) building blocks have also been investigated (Figure S3, Supporting Information). The computed total energy per formula unit shows that \(\alpha\)-\text{MoCl}_2 (\(\text{Mo}_6\text{Cl}_{12}\)) is the most stable structure \((E = -17.47 \text{ eV/fu}; \text{Figure S3(a), Supporting Information})\), followed by the structure with edge-sharing \([\text{Mo}_2\text{Cl}_{13}]\) clusters (Figure 10) with only a parallel orientation of the Mo tetranuclear clusters \((E = -17.30 \text{ eV/fu})\); a structure similar to the latter but with both parallel and perpendicular orientations of the Mo tetranuclear clusters appears to be slightly less energetically favorable \([E = -17.22 \text{ eV/fu}; \text{Figure S3(c), Supporting Information}]\). The \(\text{MoCl}_3\) model with the \(\text{TcCl}_2\)-type structure made of face-sharing \([\text{Tc}_2\text{Cl}_8]^-\) units is significantly less stable \([E/\text{fu} = -17.17 \text{ eV}; \text{Figure S3(d), Supporting Information}]\), although it is energetically more favorable than candidate structures with corner-sharing \([\text{Mo}_2\text{Cl}_{13}]\) clusters [Figure S3(e) and S3(f), Supporting Information]. In agreement with our theoretical results, previous studies have shown that \(\beta\)-\text{MoCl}_2 was converted to \(\text{Mo}_6\text{Cl}_{12}\) after thermal treatment.\(^{6}\)

The computed bond lengths in \(\alpha\)-\text{MoCl}_2 are 2.61 Å for Mo–Mo and 2.40, 2.49, and 2.54 Å for Mo–Cl, in excellent agreement with experimental values.\(^{32}\) In the relaxed structure with edge-sharing \([\text{Mo}_2\text{Cl}_{13}]\) clusters shown in Figure 10, the Mo–Mo bond distances are 2.14 and 2.83 Å and the Mo–Cl bond distances are 2.40 Å for the Cl atoms bridging the Mo atoms forming the tetranuclear clusters and 2.49 Å for the Cl atoms bridging the \([\text{Mo}_2\text{Cl}_{13}]^-\) units. While the calculated Mo–Mo bond lengths forming the Mo tetranuclear are slightly shorter than the average distances of 2.21(2) and 2.91(3) Å determined from EXAFS, their computed bond length ratio of 1.32 reproduces the experimental value of 1.32(3). The calculated average Mo–Cl bond length of ca. 2.45 Å is also consistent with the distance of 2.46(2) Å derived from EXAFS.

**CONCLUSION**

In summary, \(\beta\)-\text{MoCl}_2 and \(\text{TcCl}_2\) have been synthesized and their structures analyzed by EXAFS spectroscopy. Results for technetium confirm that \(\text{TcCl}_2\) is comprised of face-sharing \([\text{Tc}_2\text{Cl}_8]^-\) units. For \(\beta\)-\text{MoCl}_2, EXAFS results are consistent with the presence of an \([\text{Mo}_2\text{Cl}_{13}]^-\) cluster. In the cluster constituted by two face-sharing \([\text{Mo}_2\text{Cl}_{13}]^-\) units, the \(\text{Mo}(\mu-\text{Cl})\text{Mo}\) separations indicate metal–metal interactions between the \([\text{Mo}_2\text{Cl}_{13}]^-\) units. Distances are consistent with the presence of a triple bond in \([\text{Mo}_2\text{Cl}_{13}]^-\) and a single bond in \(\text{Mo}(\mu-\text{Cl})\text{Mo}\).
Calculations in the MoCl₂ system confirm that α-MoCl₂ or Mo₂Cl₁₂ is the most stable structure while Mo₅Cl₂ with a TaCl₃ structure is less stable than MoCl₂ constituted by Mo₂Cl₁₂ bridging clusters. Molybdenum is the third transition metal where a multiple-bonded binary chloride has been synthesized from reaction between a multiple metal–metal-bonded dimer with HCl(g). Binary dichlorides of group VIII are still unknown. It will be interesting to see if RuCl₂ can be synthesized by reaction between Ru₂(O₂CCH₃)₆(H₂O)₂ and HCl(g).
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